

Rubric for 2016-17 World’s Best Workforce Report Summary

District/Charter Name: Bemidji Area Schools

Grades Served: PreK-12

1. Stakeholder Engagement

1a. Annual Report

For each school year, the school board must publish a report in the local newspaper, by mail or by electronic means on the district/charter website.

Website link to district/charter annual report (If a link is not available, description on how the district/charter disseminates the report.)	<input type="checkbox"/> Link to the annual report is not provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Link to the annual report is provided
--	--	---

MDE Comments:

1b. Annual Public Meeting

School boards are to hold an annual public meeting to communicate plans for the upcoming school year based on a review of goals, outcomes and strategies from the previous year. Stakeholders should be meaningfully involved, and this meeting is to occur separately from a regularly scheduled school board meeting. The author’s intent was to have a separate meeting just for this reason.

Date of the school board annual public meeting to review progress from the 2016-2017 school year	<input type="checkbox"/> Date of annual public meeting is not provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Date of annual public meeting is provided
--	--	---

MDE Comments:

1c. District Advisory Committee

The district advisory committee must reflect the diversity of the district and its school sites. It must include teachers, parents, support staff, students, and other community residents. Parents and other community residents are to comprise at least two-thirds of advisory committee members, when possible. The district advisory committee makes recommendations to the school board.

District Advisory Committee members for the 2016-2017 school year must include teachers, parents, support staff, students, and other community residents.	<input type="checkbox"/> District Advisory Committee members are not provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District Advisory Committee includes some of the following members: teachers, parents, support staff, students, and other community residents	<input type="checkbox"/> District Advisory Committee includes all of the following members: teachers, parents, support staff, students, and other community residents
---	---	---	---

MDE Comments: no students, support staff

2. Goals and Results

SMART goals are: specific and strategic, measurable, attainable (yet rigorous), results-based and time-based. Goals should be linked to needs and written in SMART-goal format. Results should tie directly back to the established goal so it is clear whether the goal was met. Districts may choose to use the data profiles provided by MDE in reporting goals and results or other locally-determined measures. Be sure to check the box with the most appropriate goal status.

2a. All Students Ready for School

SMART goal for the 2016-17 school year

<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness goal is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Readiness goal is provided	<input type="checkbox"/> District/charter does not enroll students in Kindergarten
<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness goal is not written in SMART format	<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness goal is somewhat written in SMART format	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Readiness goal is clearly written in SMART format	

Result for the 2016-17 school year that ties back to the established goal

<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness result is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Readiness result is provided
<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness result does not tie back to the goal	<input type="checkbox"/> School Readiness result somewhat ties back to the goal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Readiness result directly ties back to the goal

District-Reported Goal Status

<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal in progress (for multi-year goals)	<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal not met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District reported goal met
---	---	--

MDE Comments: Nice job in outlining a clear goal and detailed outcomes.

2b. All Students in Third Grade Achieving Grade-Level Literacy

SMART goal for the 2016-2017 school year

<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading goal is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading goal is provided	<input type="checkbox"/> District/charter does not enroll students in grade 3
<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading goal is not written in SMART format	<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading goal is somewhat written in SMART format	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading goal is clearly written in SMART format	

Result for the 2016-2017 school year that ties back to the established goal

<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading result is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading result is provided
<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading result does not tie back to the goal	<input type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading result somewhat ties back to the goal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third grade reading result directly ties back to the goal

District-Reported Goal Status

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
District reported goal in progress (for multi-year goals)	District reported goal not met	District reported goal met

MDE Comments: Great job in connecting goals and results even when goals are not met.

2c. Close the Achievement Gap(s) Among All Groups

SMART goal for the 2016-2017 school year

<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Achievement gap goal is not provided		Achievement gap goal is provided
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Achievement gap goal is not written in SMART format	Achievement gap goal is somewhat written in SMART format	Achievement gap goal is clearly written in SMART format

Result for the 2016-2017 school year that ties back to the established goal

<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Achievement gap result is not provided		Achievement gap result is provided
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Achievement gap result does not tie back to the goal	Achievement gap result somewhat ties back to the goal	Achievement gap result directly ties back to the goal

District-Reported Goal Status

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
District reported goal in progress (for multi-year goals)	District reported goal not met	District reported goal met

MDE Comments: The detailed data results clearly tie back to the goals. Including a comparison of non-special education student proficiency, white, and non-free & reduced lunch proficiency with the data

shared here (over time) will illustrate closing the gap you described in the fifth bullet of the results column.

2d. All Students Career- and College-Ready by Graduation

SMART goal for the 2016-2017 school year

<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready goal is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready goal is provided
<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready goal is not written in SMART format	<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready goal is somewhat written in SMART format	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready goal is clearly written in SMART format

Result for the 2016-2017 school year that ties back to the established goal

<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready result is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready result is provided
<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready result does not tie back to the goal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready result somewhat ties back to the goal	<input type="checkbox"/> Career- and college-ready result directly ties back to the goal

District-Reported Goal Status

<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal in progress (for multi-year goals)	<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal not met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District reported goal met
---	---	--

MDE Comments: Nice use of specific goal and result data. How would you show you met your goal in a summarized manner that aligns specifically to your goal?

2e. All Students Graduate

SMART goal for the 2016-2017 school year

<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation goal is not provided		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Graduation goal is provided	<input type="checkbox"/> District/charter does not enroll students in grade 12
<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation goal is not written in SMART format	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation goal is somewhat written in SMART format	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Graduation goal is clearly written in SMART format	

Result for the 2016-2017 school year that ties back to the established goal

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Graduation result is not provided		<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation result is provided
<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation result does not tie back to the goal	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation result somewhat ties back to the goal	<input type="checkbox"/> Graduation result directly ties back to the goal

District-Reported Goal Status

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District reported goal in progress (for multi-year goals)	<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal not met	<input type="checkbox"/> District reported goal met
--	---	---

MDE Comments: How might you implement an in-district process to monitor and assess graduation rates over time?

3. Identified Needs Based on Data

Data that was reviewed to determine needs may include state-level accountability tests, the Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments (MCAs), and/or local, district-level data, such as local assessments, attendance, graduation, mobility, remedial course-taking rates, child poverty, etc.

Described needs at the start of the 2016-2017 school year	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs identified by the district/charter are not described	<input type="checkbox"/> Needs identified by the district/charter are generally described	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs identified by the district/charter are clearly described
Data use	<input type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter are not provided	<input type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter are generally provided	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter are clearly provided
Data connected to needs	<input type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter are not at all connected to the identified needs	<input type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter are somewhat connected to the identified needs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data used by the district/charter clearly connected to the identified needs
Response is succinct (limited to 300 words maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response is not within the 300 word limit		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response is within the 300 word limit

MDE Comments: How can you connect (include) your data provided to the student groups provided under needs?

4. Systems, Strategies and Support Category

4a. Students

Process for assessing and evaluating student progress	<input type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing and evaluating student progress is not evident	<input type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing and evaluating student progress is somewhat evident	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing and evaluating student progress is clearly evident
Assessment of student progress toward meeting academic standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing student progress is not inclusive of academic standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing student progress is somewhat inclusive of academic standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process for assessing student progress is clearly inclusive of academic standards
Process to disaggregate data by student group	<input type="checkbox"/> Process to disaggregate data by student group is not evident	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process to disaggregate data by student group is somewhat evident	<input type="checkbox"/> Process to disaggregate data by student group is clearly evident
Response is succinct (limited to 300 words maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response is not within the 300 word limit		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response is within the 300 word limit

MDE Comments: How would you describe your process for disaggregating data by student groups?

4b. Teachers and Principals

System to review and evaluate the effectiveness of curriculum	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter does not demonstrate a process to review the effectiveness of curriculum	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a general process to review the effectiveness of curriculum	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a robust process to review the effectiveness of curriculum
System to review and evaluate the effectiveness of instruction	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter does not demonstrate a process to review the effectiveness of instruction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a general process to review the effectiveness of instruction	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a robust process to review the effectiveness of instruction
Teacher evaluations are included	<input type="checkbox"/> Teacher evaluations are not included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Teacher evaluations are generally included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction	<input type="checkbox"/> Teacher evaluations are meaningfully included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction
Principal evaluations are included	<input type="checkbox"/> Principal evaluations are not included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Principal evaluations are generally included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction	<input type="checkbox"/> Principal evaluations are meaningfully included in the system to review effectiveness of instruction
Response is succinct (limited to 300 words maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response is not within the 300 word limit		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response is within the 300 word limit

MDE Comments: How do your principal and teacher evaluations focus on professional collaboration, feedback and growth? How does your system include student responses to the instruction?

4c. District

District practices that integrate technology	<input type="checkbox"/> Practices around technology are not included	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Practices around technology are somewhat included	<input type="checkbox"/> Practices around technology are clearly included
District practices that integrate a collaborative professional culture	<input type="checkbox"/> Practices around professional culture are not included	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Practices around professional culture are somewhat included	<input type="checkbox"/> Practices around professional culture are clearly included
Response is succinct (limited to 300 words maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response is not within the 300 word limit		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response is within the 300 word limit

MDE Comments: How would you describe how your technology integration promotes high-quality instruction? How do you ensure professional collaboration during dedicated PLC time?

5. Equitable Access to Excellent Teachers

Process to examine the distribution of experienced, effective and in-field teachers	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter does not demonstrate a process to review student access to experienced, effective and in-field teachers	<input type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a general process to review student access to experienced, effective and in-field teachers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The district/charter demonstrates a robust process to review student access to experienced, effective and in-field teachers
Strategies to improve students' equitable access	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies to improve equitable access are not included	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategies to improve equitable access are somewhat included	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategies to improve equitable access are clearly included
Response is succinct (limited to 300 words maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/> Response is not within the 300 word limit		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Response is within the 300 word limit

MDE Comments: What data did you use to show that all teachers are effective?